

Finite element approximation of nonlocal fracture models

Prashant K. Jha

<u>prashant.j16o@gmail.com</u> <u>jha@math.lsu.edu</u>

Joint work with

Dr. Robert Lipton

Funded by Army Research Office



Outline of talk

1

- Peridynamic: Introduction
- Well-posedness of Peridynamic solutions
- A priori error estimates on finite element approximations
- Numerical verification
- Future works





Introduction


Let D be the material domain, D_c be nonlocal boundary, and \boldsymbol{u} be the displacement field.

Let \mathbf{x} denote the material point and $\chi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is the deformed position. Strain between two material point \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is given by

$$S(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}) = \frac{|\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})| - |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}$$

Assuming that displacement is small compared to the size of material, we linearize S and get

$$\mathsf{S}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}$$






Introduction: Generic force

3

Consider a material point \mathbf{x} . We introduce a length scale ϵ which is called size of horizon. This controls the extent of nonlocal interaction in the material. Generic form of force at \mathbf{x} in peridynamic model is given by

$$\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})|} \int_{\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{\hat{f}}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}) \mathsf{d}\mathbf{y}$$

 $\hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\epsilon}$ depends on choice of ϵ .




force A






Introduction: Example of a bond-force

4



$$\hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) = \mu(S(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}))4\frac{J^{\epsilon}(|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|)}{\epsilon}S(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u})\frac{\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|}$$

where $\mu(S) = 1$ if $|S| < S_c$ and $\mu(S) = 0$ when $|S| \ge S_c$.



$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{x}\in D}|\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u})-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\bar{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{E}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})|=O(\epsilon^{2}),\quad \bar{\mathbb{C}}=\frac{2}{|B_{1}(\mathbf{0})|}\int_{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})}J(|\boldsymbol{\xi}|)\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\otimes\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\otimes\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\otimes\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}|\boldsymbol{\xi}|d\boldsymbol{\xi},$$

 $\mathbf{e}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{\xi}/|\boldsymbol{\xi}|$ and the strain tensor is $\mathcal{E}\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = (\nabla\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{x}))/2$.






Introduction: Regularized force


5

• We consider peridynamic force of the form

$$\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}) = \frac{2}{|B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{0})|} \int_{B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})} \frac{J^{\epsilon}(|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|)}{\epsilon |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \partial_{S} f(|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|S^{2}) \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} d\mathbf{y}$$

where f is smooth, bounded far away, and linear near origin (Lipton 2014^1)





• Critical strain: $S_c(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\overline{r}}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}}$






Introduction: Regularized force

6

• In Jha & Lipton 2017a¹ and Jha & Lipton 2017b², we introduce boundary function ω in peridynamic force as follows



With boundary function ω , we can show existence of solutions in regular spaces like $C_0^{0,\gamma}(D;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $H_0^2(D;\mathbb{R}^d) \cup L^{\infty}(D;\mathbb{R}^d)$ for Dirichlet boundary condition $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ on ∂D .

^[1] Prashant K. Jha and Robert Lipton (2017) Numerical analysis of peridynamic models in Hölder space. To appear in SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.02818

^[2] Prashant K. Jha and Robert Lipton (2017) Finite element approximation of nonlocal fracture models. Under review in IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.07661





Introduction: Equation of motion

7

- Peridynamics equation: for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathsf{D}$ and $\mathbf{t} \in [0, \mathsf{T}]$ $\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}(t)) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t)$
- **Description** Boundary condition: $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \partial D$ and for $\mathbf{t} \in [0, T]$
- Initial condition: $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x})$ and $\dot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x},0) = \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in D$
- Weak form: Multiplying peridynamic equation by smooth test function $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{0}$ on ∂D , integrating over D, and using nonlocal integration by parts, gives

$$(
ho\ddot{\mathbf{u}}(\mathsf{t}), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + \mathsf{a}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}(\mathsf{t}), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = (\mathbf{b}(\mathsf{t}), \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = \frac{2}{\epsilon |\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})|} \int_{\mathsf{D}} \int_{\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})} \omega(\mathbf{x}) \omega(\mathbf{y}) \mathsf{J}^{\epsilon}(|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|) \mathsf{f}'(|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|\mathsf{S}(\mathbf{u})^2) |\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}| \mathsf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \mathsf{S}(\mathbf{v}) \mathsf{d}\mathbf{y} \mathsf{d}\mathbf{x}$$

and

$$S(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}, S(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|}$$





Finite element approximation

8

We approximate peridynamic equation using linear continuous finite elements. We focus on following three key points

- Well-posedness of peridynamic equation in $H_0^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ space.
- Apriori error estimates due to finite element approximations for exact solutions in $H_0^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$.
- Numerical verifications of convergence rate.





Well-posedness of peridynamic equation

9

Let W denote the $H_0^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^{\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ space. Norm on W is defined as

$$||\mathbf{u}||_W := ||\mathbf{u}||_2 + ||\mathbf{u}||_{\infty}$$

We will assume that $\mathbf{u} \in H^2_0(D; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is extended by zero outside D, therefore, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \nabla \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ for $\mathbf{x} \notin D$ and $||\mathbf{u}||_{H^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d)} = ||\mathbf{u}||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)}$.

To show existence of solutions in W, we proceed as follows:

- Obtain Lipschitz bound on peridynamic force in W.
- ▶ Using Lipshitz bound, show local existence of unique solutions. Show that local existence of unique solutions can be repeatedly applied to get global existence of solutions for any time domain (−T, T).





Well-posedness of peridynamic equation

10

We write the peridynamics equation as an equivalent first order system with $y_1(t) = \mathbf{u}(t)$ and $y_2(t) = \mathbf{v}(t)$ with $\mathbf{v}(t) = \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t)$. Let $y = (y_1, y_2)^T$ where $y_1, y_2 \in W$ and let $F^{\epsilon}(y, t) = (F_1^{\epsilon}(y, t), F_2^{\epsilon}(y, t))^T$ such that

$$F_1^{\epsilon}(y,t) := y_2,$$

$$F_2^{\epsilon}(y,t) := \mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(y_1) + \mathbf{b}(t).$$

The initial boundary value is equivalent to the initial boundary value problem for the first order system given by

$$\dot{y}(t) = F^{\epsilon}(y, t),$$

with initial condition given by $y(0) = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0)^T \in W \times W$.





Lipschitz bound on peridynamic force

11

Theorem 1. Lipschitz bound on peridynamics force

Assuming $|\nabla \omega| \leq C_{\omega_1} < \infty$, $|\nabla^2 \omega| \leq C_{\omega_2} < \infty$, for any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{W}$, we have

$$||\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{v})||_{W} \leq \frac{\bar{L}_{1} + \bar{L}_{2}(||\mathbf{u}||_{W} + ||\mathbf{v}||_{W}) + \bar{L}_{3}(||\mathbf{u}||_{W} + ||\mathbf{v}||_{W})^{2}}{\epsilon^{3}}||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}||_{W}$$

where constants $\bar{\mathsf{L}}_1, \bar{\mathsf{L}}_2, \bar{\mathsf{L}}_3$ are independent of ϵ , u , and v . Also, for $\mathsf{u} \in \mathsf{W}$, we have

$$||\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})||_{W} \leq \frac{\bar{L}_{4}||\mathbf{u}||_{W} + \bar{L}_{5}||\mathbf{u}||_{W}^{2}}{\epsilon^{5/2}},$$

where constants are independent of ϵ and ${\bf u}$.





12

Theorem 2. Local existence and uniqueness

Given $X = W \times W$, $\mathbf{b}(t) \in W$, and initial data $x_0 = (\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{v}_0) \in X$. We suppose that $\mathbf{b}(t)$ is continuous in time over some time interval $I_0 = (-T, T)$ and satisfies $\sup_{t \in I_0} ||\mathbf{b}(t)||_W < \infty$. Then, there exists a time interval $I' = (-T', T') \subset I_0$ and unique solution $y = (y^1, y^2)$ such that $y \in C^1(I'; X)$ and

$$y(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t F^{\epsilon}(y(\tau), \tau) d\tau, \text{ for } t \in I'$$

or equivalently

$$y'(t) = F^{\epsilon}(y(t), t), with y(0) = x_0, for t \in I'$$

where y(t) and y'(t) are Lipschitz continuous in time for $t \in I' \subset I_0$.





Proof: Let T'>0 and Y(T') be a set of functions $y(t)\in W$ for $t\in (-T',T')$. We show that there exists such a set Y(T') and T'>0 such that map S_{x_0} , defined as follows

$$\mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{x}_0}(\mathsf{y})(\mathsf{t}) = \mathsf{x}_0 + \int_0^\mathsf{t} \mathsf{F}^\epsilon(\mathsf{y}(\tau),\tau) \, \mathsf{d}\tau,$$

or in element form

$$\begin{split} S^1_{x_0}(y)(t) &= x_0^1 + \int_0^t y^2(\tau) \, d\tau \\ S^2_{x_0}(y)(t) &= x_0^2 + \int_0^t (\mathbf{f}^\epsilon(y^1(\tau)) + \mathbf{b}(\tau)) \, d\tau, \end{split}$$

maps functions in Y(T') to functions in Y(T'). We then apply fixed point theorem such as in **Driver 2003**¹.






14

Write $y(t) = (y^1(t), y^2(t))^T$ with $||y||_X = ||y^1(t)||_W + ||y^2(t)||_W$. Let $R > ||x_0||_X$ and $B(0,R) = \{y \in X : ||y||_X < R\}$. Let $r < \min\{1,R-||x_0||_X\}$. We have $r^2 < (R-||x_0||_X)^2$ and $r^2 < r < R-||x_0||_X$. Consider the ball $B(x_0,r^2) = \{y \in X : ||y-x_0||_X < r^2\}$.

Then we have $B(x_0, r^2) \subset B(x_0, r) \subset B(0, R)$.

Introduce 0 < T' < T and the associated set Y(T') of functions in W taking values in $B(x_0, r^2)$ for $I' = (-T', T') \subset I_0 = (-T, T)$. I.e. for all $y \in Y(T')$, $y(t) \in B(x_0, r^2)$ for all $t \in (-T', T')$. We want to find T' such that $S_{x_0}(y)(t) \in B(x_0, r^2)$ for all $t \in (-T', T')$ implying $S_{x_0}(y) \in Y(T')$.



Writing out the transformation with $y(t) \in Y(T')$ gives

$$\begin{split} S^1_{x_0}(y)(t) &= x_0^1 + \int_0^t y^2(\tau) \, d\tau \\ S^2_{x_0}(y)(t) &= x_0^2 + \int_0^t (\mathbf{f}^\epsilon(y^1(\tau)) + b(\tau)) \, d\tau. \end{split}$$

We simply have

$$||S^1_{x_0}(y)(t)-x^1_0||_W \leq \sup_{t \in (-T',T')}||y^2(t)||_W T'.$$



15

Using bound on \mathbf{f}^{ϵ} , we have

$$||S^2_{x_0}(y)(t)-x_0^2||_W \leq \int_0^t \left[\frac{\bar{L}_4}{\epsilon^{5/2}}||y^1(\tau)||_W + \frac{\bar{L}_5}{\epsilon^{5/2}}||y^1(\tau)||_W^2 + ||\boldsymbol{b}(\tau)||_W\right] d\tau.$$

Let $\bar{b} = \sup_{t \in I_0} ||b(t)||_W$. Noting that transformation S_{x_0} is defined for $t \in I' = (-T', T')$ and $y(\tau) = (y^1(\tau), y^2(\tau)) \in B(x_0, r^2) \subset B(0, R)$ as $y \in Y(T')$, we have from 3 and 4

$$\begin{split} ||S^1_{x_0}(y)(t) - x^1_0||_W &\leq RT', \\ ||S^2_{x_0}(y)(t) - x^2_0||_W &\leq \left\lceil \frac{\bar{L}_4 R + \bar{L}_5 R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}} + \bar{b} \right\rceil T'. \end{split}$$

Combining to get

$$||S_{x_0}(y)(t) - x_0||_X \leq \left[\frac{\bar{L}_4 R + \bar{L}_5 R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}} + R + \bar{b}\right] T'.$$





16

Choosing T' as follow:
$$T' < \frac{r^2}{\left[\frac{\bar{L}_4 R + \bar{L}_5 R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}} + R + \bar{b}\right]}$$

 $\mathrm{Then} \ S_{x_0}(y) \in Y(T') \ \mathrm{for \ all} \ y \in Y(T') \ \mathrm{as} \quad ||S_{x_0}(y)(t) - x_0||_X < r^2.$

Since $r^2 < (R - ||x_0||_X)^2$, we have


$$T'<\frac{r^2}{\left[\frac{\overline{L}_4R+\overline{L}_5R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}}+R+\overline{b}\right]}<\frac{(R-||x_0||_X)^2}{\left[\frac{\overline{L}_4R+\overline{L}_5R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}}+R+\overline{b}\right]}.$$

Let $\theta(R)$ be given by

$$\theta(R) := \frac{(R - ||x_0||_X)^2}{\left\lceil \frac{\bar{L}_4 R + \bar{L}_5 R^2}{\epsilon^{5/2}} + R + \bar{b} \right\rceil}.$$

Note that $\theta(R)$ is increasing with R > 0 and satisfies

$$\theta_{\infty} := \lim_{\mathsf{R} \to \infty} \theta(\mathsf{R}) = \frac{\epsilon^{5/2}}{\overline{\mathsf{L}}_5}.$$







17

So given R and $||x_0||_X$ we choose T' according to

$$\frac{\theta(\mathsf{R})}{2} < \mathsf{T}' < \theta(\mathsf{R}),$$

and set I' = (-T', T'). This way we have shown that for time domain I' the transformation $S_{x_0}(y)(t)$ maps Y(T') into itself. Existence and uniqueness of solution can be established using Theorem 6.10 in **Driver 2003**¹.



Global existence

18

Theorem 3. Existence and uniqueness of solutions over finite time intervals

For any initial condition $x_0 \in X = W \times W$, time interval $I_0 = (-T, T)$, and right hand side $\mathbf{b}(t)$ continuous in time for $t \in I_0$ such that $\mathbf{b}(t)$ satisfies $\sup_{t \in I_0} ||\mathbf{b}(t)||_W < \infty$, there is a unique solution $y(t) \in C^1(I_0; X)$ of

$$y(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t F^{\epsilon}(y(\tau), \tau) d\tau,$$

or equivalently

$$y'(t) = F^{\epsilon}(y(t), t), with \ y(0) = x_0,$$

where y(t) and y'(t) are Lipschitz continuous in time for $t \in I_0$.





Global existence

19

We have shown a unique local solution over a time domain $(-\mathsf{T}',\mathsf{T}')$ with $\frac{\theta(\mathsf{R})}{2} < \mathsf{T}'$. Since $\theta(\mathsf{R}) \nearrow \epsilon^{5/2}/\bar{\mathsf{L}}_5$ as $\mathsf{R} \nearrow \infty$ we can fix a tolerance $\eta > 0$ so that $[(\epsilon^{5/2}/2\bar{\mathsf{L}}_5) - \eta] > 0$.

Then for any initial condition in W and $b = \sup_{t \in [-T,T)} || \mathbf{b}(t) ||_W$ we can choose R sufficiently large so that $||x_0||_X < R$ and $0 < (\epsilon^{5/2}/2\bar{L}_5) - \eta < T'$.

Since choice of T' is independent of initial condition and R, we can always find local solutions for time intervals (-T', T') for T' larger than $[(\epsilon^{5/2}/2\bar{L}_5) - \eta] > 0$. Therefore we apply the local existence and uniqueness result to uniquely continue local solutions up to an arbitrary time interval (-T, T).



Finite element approximation

20

Let V_h be the approximation of $H^2_0(D,\mathbb{R}^d)$ associated to the linear continuous interpolation function over triangulation \mathcal{T}_h where h denotes the size of finite element mesh. Let $\mathcal{I}_h(\boldsymbol{u})$ be defined as below

$$\mathcal{I}_{h}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}} \left[\sum_{i \in N_{T}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \phi_{i}(\mathbf{x}) \right].$$

Assuming that the size of each element in triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is bounded by h, we have (see Theorem 4.6 **Arnold 2011**¹)

$$||\mathbf{u} - \mathcal{I}_h(\mathbf{u})|| \le ch^2 ||\mathbf{u}||_2, \quad \forall \mathbf{u} \in H_0^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Projection of function in FE space:

$$||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{h}}(\mathbf{u})|| = \inf_{\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{h}}} ||\mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}||.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} &(\textbf{r}_h(\textbf{u}), \tilde{\textbf{u}}) = (\textbf{u}, \tilde{\textbf{u}}), \qquad \forall \tilde{\textbf{u}} \in V_h. \\ &||\textbf{u} - \textbf{r}_h(\textbf{u})|| \leq ch^2 ||\textbf{u}||_2 \qquad \forall \textbf{u} \in H^2_0(D; \mathbb{R}^d). \end{split}$$





Semi-discrete approximation and stability

21

Let $\mathbf{u}_h(t) \in V_h$ be the approximation of $\mathbf{u}(t)$ which satisfies following

$$(\ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}_h, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) + \boldsymbol{a}^{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}_h(t), \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = (\boldsymbol{b}(t), \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}), \qquad \forall \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \in V_h.$$

We show that the semi-discrete approximation is stable, i.e. energy at time t is bounded by initial energy and work done by the body force.

The total energy $\mathcal{E}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})(t)$ is given by the sum of kinetic and potential energy given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\epsilon}(\textbf{u})(t) = \frac{1}{2} ||\dot{\textbf{u}}(t)||_{L^2} + \mathsf{PD}^{\epsilon}(\textbf{u}(t)), \mathsf{PD}^{\epsilon}(\textbf{u}) = \int_{D} \left[\frac{1}{|\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\textbf{x})|} \int_{\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\textbf{x})} \mathsf{W}^{\epsilon}(\mathsf{S}(\textbf{u}), \textbf{y} - \textbf{x}) d\textbf{y} \right] d\textbf{x},$$

where bond-potential is given by $W^{\epsilon}(S, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) = \omega(\mathbf{x})\omega(\mathbf{y})\frac{\mathsf{J}^{\epsilon}(|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|)}{\epsilon}\mathsf{f}(|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|\mathsf{S}^2).$

Theorem 4. Stability of semi-discrete approximation

The semi-discrete scheme is stable and the energy $\mathcal{E}^{\epsilon}(u_h)(t)$ satisfies the following bound

$$\mathcal{E}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathsf{h}})(\mathsf{t}) \leq \left[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathsf{h}})(0)} + \int_{0}^{\mathsf{t}} ||\mathbf{b}(\tau)|| \mathsf{d}\tau \right]^{2}.$$





Central difference time discretization

 $(\boldsymbol{u}_h^k, \boldsymbol{v}_h^k)$ and $(\boldsymbol{u}^k, \boldsymbol{v}^k)$ denote the approximate and the exact solution at $k^{\rm th}$ step. Projection is denoted as $(\boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{u}^k), \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{v}^k))$. Approximate initial condition $\boldsymbol{u}_0, \boldsymbol{v}_0$ by their projection $\boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{u}_0), \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{v}_0)$ and set $\boldsymbol{u}_h^0 = \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{u}_0), \boldsymbol{v}_h^0 = \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{v}_0)$.

For $k \geq 1$, $(\mathbf{u}_h^k, \mathbf{v}_h^k)$ satisfies, for all $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in V_h$,

$$\begin{split} & \left(\frac{\textbf{u}_h^{k+1} - \textbf{u}_h^k}{\Delta t}, \tilde{\textbf{u}} \right) = (\textbf{v}_h^{k+1}, \tilde{\textbf{u}}), \\ & \left(\frac{\textbf{v}_h^{k+1} - \textbf{v}_h^k}{\Delta t}, \tilde{\textbf{u}} \right) = (\textbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\textbf{u}_h^k), \tilde{\textbf{u}}) + (\textbf{b}_h^k, \tilde{\textbf{u}}), \end{split}$$

where we denote projection of $\mathbf{b}(\mathsf{t}^k)$, $\mathbf{r}_\mathsf{h}(\mathbf{b}(\mathsf{t}^k))$, as \mathbf{b}_h^k . Combining the two equations delivers central difference equation for \mathbf{u}_h^k . We have

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{h}^{k+1}-2\mathbf{u}_{h}^{k}+\mathbf{u}_{h}^{k-1}}{\Delta t^{2}},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\right)=(\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{k}),\tilde{\mathbf{u}})+(\mathbf{b}_{h}^{k},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}), \qquad \forall \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in V_{h}.$$

For k = 0, we have $\forall \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \in V_h$

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_{h}^{1}-\mathbf{u}_{h}^{0}}{\Delta t^{2}},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{0}),\tilde{\mathbf{u}})+\frac{1}{\Delta t}(\mathbf{v}_{h}^{0},\tilde{\mathbf{u}})+\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{b}_{h}^{0},\tilde{\mathbf{u}}).$$





Convergence of approximation

Error E^k is given by $E^k := ||\mathbf{u}_h^k - \mathbf{u}(t^k)|| + ||\mathbf{v}_h^k - \mathbf{v}(t^k)||$. We split the error as follows

$$\mathsf{E}^k \leq \left(|| u^k - r_h(u^k) || + || v^k - r_h(v^k) || \right) + \left(|| r_h(u^k) - u_h^k || + || r_h(v^k) - v_h^k || \right),$$

where first term is error between exact solution and projections, and second term is error between projections and approximate solution.

Let

$$\mathbf{e}_h^k(u) := \mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}^k) - \mathbf{u}_h^k, \mathbf{e}_h^k(v) := \mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{v}^k) - \mathbf{v}_h^k$$

and

$$e^k := ||\mathbf{e}_h^k(u)|| + ||\mathbf{e}_h^k(v)||.$$

We have

$$E^k \leq C_p h^2 + e^k$$
,

where

$$C_p := c \left[\sup_t ||\textbf{u}(t)||_2 + \sup_t ||\frac{\partial \textbf{u}(t)}{\partial t}||_2 \right].$$





Convergence of approximation

24

Theorem 5. Convergence of Central difference approximation

Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) be the exact solution of peridynamics equation and Let $(\mathbf{u}_{h}^{k}, \mathbf{v}_{h}^{k})$ be the FE approximate solution. If $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C^2([0,T], H_0^2(D; \mathbb{R}^d))$, then the scheme is consistent and the error E^k satisfies following bound

$$\sup_{k \leq T/\Delta t} E^k = C_t \Delta t + C_s \frac{h^2}{\epsilon^2}$$

where constant C_t and C_s are independent of h and Δt and depends on the norm of exact solution. Constant L/ϵ^2 is the Lipschitz constant of $\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})$ in L^2 .



Convergence of approximation

25

Outline of proof:

- (1) Write peridynamics equation for projection $(\mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}^k), \mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{v}^k))$ which involves consistency error.
 - (2) Estimate consistency error terms. One of the error term is as follows

$$||\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}^k) - \mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}^k))|| \leq \frac{L}{\epsilon^2}||\mathbf{u}^k - \mathbf{r}_h(\mathbf{u}^k)||_{L^2} \leq \frac{Lc}{\epsilon^2}h^2\sup_t ||\mathbf{u}(t)||_2$$

(3) Substract peridynamics equation corresponding to projection $(\boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{u}^k), \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{v}^k))$ and approximate solution $(\boldsymbol{u}_h^k, \boldsymbol{v}_h^k)$, use estimates on consistency errors, and apply discrete Grönwall inequality to obtain the bound on $e^k = ||\boldsymbol{u}_h^k - \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{u}^k)|| + ||\boldsymbol{v}_h^k - \boldsymbol{r}_h(\boldsymbol{v}^k)||$.





Stability of fully discrete approximation: Linearized peridynamic equation

26

Consider linearization of peridynamic force \mathbf{f}^{ϵ} defined as

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{I}}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4}{|\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})|} \int_{\mathsf{B}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})} \omega(\mathbf{x}) \omega(\mathbf{y}) \frac{\mathsf{J}^{\epsilon}(|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|)}{\epsilon} \mathsf{f}'(0) \mathsf{S}(\mathbf{u}) \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|} \mathsf{d}\mathbf{y}.$$

Weak form of peridynamic equation is given by $(\rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}}(t), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) + a_{l}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}(t), \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = (\mathbf{b}(t), \tilde{\mathbf{u}})$, where $a_{l}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ is now bilinear map.

Following Karaa 2012¹, wehave

Theorem 6. Stability of Central difference approximation of linearized peridynamics

In the absence of body force $\mathbf{b}(t) = \mathbf{0}$ for all t, if Δt satisfies the CFL like condition

$$\frac{\Delta t^2}{4} \sup_{\mathbf{u} \in V_h \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \frac{\mathsf{a}_\mathsf{l}^\epsilon(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})}{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})} \leq 1,$$

then the discrete energy is conserved and we have the stability.





Numerical results: Exact solutions¹

27

$$\bullet$$
 $\epsilon = 0.2$


•
$$h = \epsilon/4, \epsilon/8$$

• Time domain
$$[0,1]$$
 with $\Delta t = 2 \times 10^{-5}$

•
$$\rho = 1$$
, $f(r) = 1 - \exp[-r]$, $J(r) = 1 - r$

Let
$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x},t) = a(\mathbf{x})\sin(n\pi(\mathbf{d}\cdot\mathbf{x}+t))\mathbf{d}$$
,

where
$$a(\mathbf{x}) = 0.001 * x_1 x_2 (1 - x_1)(1 - x_2), \mathbf{d} = (1, 0).$$



Define body force as follows

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \rho \partial_{tt}^2 \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{w}(t))(\mathbf{x})$$

and set initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0(x) = \mathbf{w}(0, \mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{v}_0(x) = \dot{\mathbf{w}}(0, \mathbf{x}).$


Then $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})$ is the solution.





Numerical results: Exact solutions

28








Numerical results: Different initial conditions

29

- $\epsilon = 0.2$
- $h = \epsilon/2, \epsilon/4, \epsilon/8$ with $r_h = h_1/h_2 = h_2/h_3 = 2$
- Time domain [0,1] with $\Delta t = 2 \times 10^{-5}$
- $\rho = 1$, $f(r) = 1 \exp[-r]$, J(r) = 1 r

$$\bar{\alpha} := \frac{\log(||\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2||) - \log(||\mathbf{u}_2 - \mathbf{u}_3||)}{\log(r_h)},$$



Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ on D_c . We consider initial condition of the form

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x}) = n\pi a(\mathbf{x}) \exp[-|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c|^2/b] \mathbf{d},$$


where $a(\mathbf{x}) = 0.1 * x_1 x_2 (1 - x_1) (1 - x_2)$ for $\mathbf{x} \in D$ and 0 otherwise, $\mathbf{x}_c = (0.5, 0.5), \ \mathbf{d} = (0, 1), \ \mathrm{and} \ b = 0.1.$





Numerical results: Different initial conditions









Numerical results for damage model

31

We introduce new damage model within peridynamic state-based framework in **Lipton et. al. 2018**¹.


We focus only on bond-based part of the interaction. Peridynamic force is of the form

$$\mathbf{f}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}(t)) = \frac{2}{|B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})|} \int_{D \cap B_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{x})} H^{T}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x},t) \hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u}(t)) \, d\mathbf{y},$$

where damage of bond $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}$ at time \mathbf{t} is given by

$$H^{T}(\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, t) = h\left(\int_{0}^{t} j_{S}(S(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, \tau; \mathbf{u})) d\tau\right)$$


 j_S is nonzero postive for strain only above critical strain S_c









Periodic loading













Bending test

33







Future works

34

- Numerical analysis of state-based model.
- Analysis of state-based peridynamic energy in the limit nonlocal lengthscale tends to zero.
- Implementation of adaptive-mesh refinement.



Thank you!